

United States Government Accountability Office Washington, DC 20548

March 26, 2012

Congressional Committees

Subject: Force Structure: Assessment of Army Report on Fiscal Year 2011 Progress in Modular Restructuring

The Army considers its modular force transformation the most extensive restructuring it has undertaken since World War II. Restructuring the Army from its division-based force to a brigade-based force requires investments in equipment and in the retraining of personnel. The John Warner National Defense Authorization Act (NDAA) for Fiscal Year 2007¹ directed the Army to report to Congress annually, on the date that the President submits a budget to Congress, on, among other things, progress made during the previous fiscal year to ensure that financial resources are provided for the repair, recapitalization, and replacement of equipment used in the global war on terrorism, the overall equipment requirements of Army units transforming to modularity, the reconstitution of prepositioned stocks, and the schedule for meeting those requirements. The same law directed the Comptroller General to provide an annual report assessing the Army's progress in staffing and equipping the modular force, among other things. In our 2008 report addressing this legislative requirement, we reported that the Army did not have a comprehensive and transparent approach to measure progress against its modularity objectives and that Congress should consider specifying more clearly the reporting elements that would be most useful in overseeing the transformation.² The Ike Skelton NDAA for Fiscal Year 2011,³ enacted on January 7, 2011, retained the requirement for the Army to report annually on its progress toward implementing modularity but specified new elements to be included in the Army's report, such as reporting on key enabler equipment and personnel available to modular units. It also directed the Comptroller General to review the Army's annual report. To respond to this mandate, we are reporting information on the extent to which the Army's annual fiscal year 2011 report addressed the statutory reporting requirements.

¹See Pub. L. No. 109-364, § 323 (2006).

²GAO, Force Structure: The Army Needs a Results-Oriented Plan to Equip and Staff Modular Forces and a Thorough Assessment of Their Capabilities, GAO-09-131 (Washington, D.C.: Nov. 14, 2008). ³See Pub. L. No. 111-383, § 332 (2011).

maintaining the data needed, and c including suggestions for reducing	lection of information is estimated to ompleting and reviewing the collect this burden, to Washington Headqu uld be aware that notwithstanding an DMB control number.	ion of information. Send comment arters Services, Directorate for Inf	s regarding this burden estimate formation Operations and Reports	or any other aspect of the s, 1215 Jefferson Davis	nis collection of information, Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington	
1. REPORT DATE 26 MAR 2012		2. REPORT TYPE		3. DATES COVE 00-00-2012	ERED 2 to 00-00-2012	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE				5a. CONTRACT	NUMBER	
Force Structure: A	ear 2011	5b. GRANT NUMBER				
Progress in Modular Restructuring				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER		
6. AUTHOR(S)				5d. PROJECT NUMBER		
			5e. TASK NUMBER			
				5f. WORK UNIT	NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) U.S. Government Accountability Office,441 G Street NW,Washington,DC,20548				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER		
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)		
				11. SPONSOR/M NUMBER(S)	ONITOR'S REPORT	
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAIL Approved for publ	LABILITY STATEMENT ic release; distributi	ion unlimited				
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NO	OTES					
14. ABSTRACT						
15. SUBJECT TERMS						
16. SECURITY CLASSIFIC	ATION OF:		17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT	18. NUMBER OF PAGES	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON	
a. REPORT unclassified	b. ABSTRACT unclassified	c. THIS PAGE unclassified	Same as Report (SAR)	7		

Report Documentation Page

Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188 To address our objective, we reviewed relevant laws and related Army reports on progress in modular restructuring. Specifically, we reviewed section 323 of the John Warner NDAA for Fiscal Year 2007 and section 332 of the Ike Skelton NDAA for Fiscal Year 2011, both of which required reports on issues related to Army progress in modular restructuring. We also reviewed two reports that the Army issued in fiscal year 2011 on progress toward modular restructuring—a February 2011 interim report on progress toward implementing the Army modular force initiative and toward resetting and prepositioning Army stocks, and a final report issued in September 2011 entitled Fiscal Year 2011 Report on the Prioritization of Funds for Equipment Readiness and Strategic Capability. We evaluated the extent to which the contents of these reports addressed the legally mandated reporting requirements of the lke Skelton NDAA for Fiscal Year 2011. To corroborate our understanding of DOD's approach for responding to the legislative requirements of the Ike Skelton NDAA for Fiscal Year 2011, we discussed the Army's approach with officials responsible for the development of the Army reports on progress toward modular restructuring. We conducted this performance audit from September 2011 through March 2012 in accordance with generally accepted government auditing standards. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objective.

According to the Army's Fiscal Year 2011 Report on the Prioritization of Funds for Equipment Readiness and Strategic Capability, issued in September 2011, the report addressed the requirements of the John Warner NDAA for Fiscal Year 2007 rather than the amended requirements stipulated in the Ike Skelton NDAA for Fiscal Year 2011. The report does include some information that addresses aspects of the updated reporting requirements. For example, the 2011 NDAA requires the Army to include a description of the status of the development of doctrine on how modular combat, functional, and support forces will train, be sustained, and fight. In addressing the old reporting requirements in the 2007 NDAA, the Army's 2011 report discusses modifications to the modularity plan due to finalization of organizational designs and the need to reprioritize due to the emergence of higher-priority force-protection programs. However, the report does not mention the status of the development of doctrine on how modular combat, functional, and support forces will train, be sustained, and fight, as required by the current law.

Army officials responsible for developing the mandated report said that they did not address the amended requirements because they were not aware of the changes in the legislation. Army officials attributed this oversight to internal miscommunication.

According to these officials, the Army plans to revise and reissue its September 2011 report to respond to the amended reporting requirements and, at the same time, develop its 2012 report. Officials told us that they planned to issue both the revised 2011 and the 2012 report on April 30, 2012. Army officials noted, however, that responding to the legislative requirements that require the Army to discuss plans

for the future will be challenging because decisions about the size and structure of the Army force are under review and may not be finalized prior to the reporting date.

DOD reviewed a draft of this letter and did not provide comments.

We are sending copies of this report to the appropriate congressional committees, the Secretary of Defense, and the Secretary of the Army. This report also is available at no charge on our website at http://www.gao.gov.

Should you or your staff have any questions concerning this report, please contact me at (404) 679-1816 or pendletonj@gao.gov. Contact points for our Offices of Congressional Relations and Public Affairs may be found on the last page of this report. GAO staff who made major contributions to this report are listed in enclosure I.

John H. Pendleton,

Director, Defense Capabilities and Management

John H. Pendliton

Enclosure

List of Committees

The Honorable Carl Levin Chairman The Honorable John McCain Ranking Member Committee on Armed Services United States Senate

The Honorable Daniel K. Inouye Chairman The Honorable Thad Cochran Ranking Member Subcommittee on Defense Committee on Appropriations United States Senate

The Honorable Howard P. "Buck" McKeon Chairman The Honorable Adam Smith Ranking Member Committee on Armed Services House of Representatives

The Honorable C.W. "Bill" Young Chairman
The Honorable Norman D. Dicks Ranking Member
Subcommittee on Defense
Committee on Appropriations
House of Representatives

Enclosure I

GAO Contact and Staff Acknowledgments

GAO Contact

John H. Pendleton, (404) 679-1816 or pendletonj@gao.gov

Staff Acknowledgments

In addition to the contact named above, Margaret G. Morgan, Assistant Director; Natalya Barden; Mae Jones; Nicole Willems; and Matthew R. Young made significant contributions to the report.

(351636)

	This is a work of the U.S. government and is not subject to copyright protection in the
	United States. The published product may be reproduced and distributed in its entirety without further permission from GAO. However, because this work may contain copyrighted images or other material, permission from the copyright holder may be necessary if you wish to reproduce this material separately.
•	

GAO's Mission	The Government Accountability Office, the audit, evaluation, and investigative arm of Congress, exists to support Congress in meeting its constitutional responsibilities and to help improve the performance and accountability of the federal government for the American people. GAO examines the use of public funds; evaluates federal programs and policies; and provides analyses, recommendations, and other assistance to help Congress make informed oversight, policy, and funding decisions. GAO's commitment to good government is reflected in its core values of accountability, integrity, and reliability.			
Obtaining Copies of	The fastest and easiest way to obtain copies of GAO documents at no cost is through GAO's website (www.gao.gov). Each weekday afternoon,			
GAO Reports and Testimony	GAO posts on its website newly released reports, testimony, and correspondence. To have GAO e-mail you a list of newly posted products, go to www.gao.gov and select "E-mail Updates."			
Order by Phone	The price of each GAO publication reflects GAO's actual cost of production and distribution and depends on the number of pages in the publication and whether the publication is printed in color or black and white. Pricing and ordering information is posted on GAO's website, http://www.gao.gov/ordering.htm.			
	Place orders by calling (202) 512-6000, toll free (866) 801-7077, or TDD (202) 512-2537.			
	Orders may be paid for using American Express, Discover Card, MasterCard, Visa, check, or money order. Call for additional information.			
Connect with GAO	Connect with GAO on Facebook, Flickr, Twitter, and YouTube. Subscribe to our RSS Feeds or E-mail Updates. Listen to our Podcasts. Visit GAO on the web at www.gao.gov.			
To Report Fraud,	Contact:			
Waste, and Abuse in Federal Programs	Website: www.gao.gov/fraudnet/fraudnet.htm E-mail: fraudnet@gao.gov Automated answering system: (800) 424-5454 or (202) 512-7470			
Congressional Relations	Katherine Siggerud, Managing Director, siggerudk@gao.gov, (202) 512-4400, U.S. Government Accountability Office, 441 G Street NW, Room 7125, Washington, DC 20548			
Public Affairs	Chuck Young, Managing Director, youngc1@gao.gov, (202) 512-4800 U.S. Government Accountability Office, 441 G Street NW, Room 7149 Washington, DC 20548			

